Evaluation of Siderail Usage



Resident: Room:				
R	ESIDENT PREFERENCE			
1. 2.	Is the resident able to voice their choice about side rails? Has the resident signed a preference form indication their informed choice?	NA NA	YES YES	NO NO
3.	If #2 is yes, how many rails does the resident prefer? 0 or 1 2			
INJURY RISK DETERMINATION			YES	NO
4.	Does the resident have a history of falls in general?	NA NA	YES YES	NO NO
5.	Does the resident have a history of falls from the bed?	NA NA	YES	NO
6.	Does the resident make attempts to climb over or around side rails?	NA	YES	NO
7.	Has the resident ever become entrapped in the side rail or between the mattress and the	he		
	side rail?	NA	YES	NO
8.	Has the resident sustained bruises, skin tear or laceration from a metal side rail?	NA	YES	NO
T-1 A	LL EDOM DED DIGE	NA	YES	NO
	LL FROM BED RISK Is the resident IMMORUE (cometoes, perelyzed, or no spontaneous mayoment)?	NA	YES	NO
	Is the resident IMMOBILE (comatose, paralyzed, or no spontaneous movement)? If IMMOBILE, does the resident lean to one side?	NA NA	YES YES	NO NO
	If IMMOBILE, (lower body) or MOBILE, does resident use rail to adjust position in		ILS	NO
	bed?	NA	YES	NO
12.	If MOBILE, does the resident make any attempts to get out of bed at all?	NA	YES	NO
13.	If MOBILE, can the resident get in and out of bed SAFELY without any human			
	assistance or assistive device?	NA	YES	NO
	If MOBILE, does the resident have difficulty with balance, trunk control?	NA	YES	NO
15.	If MOBILE, does the resident have decreased safety awareness, due to confusion or			
16	judgement problem? INDIVIDUAL CONCERNS Places describe in detail anything that you think would		YES	NO
10.	INDIVIDUAL CONCERNS. Please describe in detail anything that you think would making a decision, especially resident's response to side rails or any alternative side in the side rails or any alternative side rails or		11 1II	
				<u>-</u> -
				_ _ _ _
Nu Nu	DDITIONAL ASSESSMENT (as needed) rsing: Nighttime monitoring of resident's movements with both rails raised rsing: Nighttime monitoring of resident's movements without or with one rail /PT evaluations for transferring and/or ambulation skills	3	YES YES	NO NO
	/PT evaluations for transferring and/or ambulation skills /PT and/or maintenance for equipment problem (locks, side rail flush to mattress), Indicate		YES YES	NO NO

Evaluation of Siderail Usage



EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVES (Refer to problem numbers on page 1)

Problem #		Alternative Interventions	Tried?	Works?				
	14 15	A. Call bell within reach/or bulb type bell						
6	14 15	B. Scheduled bathroom assistance at night						
5 6	14 15	C. Decrease time in bed						
5 6 7		D. Increased frequency of monitoring						
	13 14 15	E. Placement of assistive devices at bedside						
	13 14 15	F. Restorative care to increase abilities to stand and walk						
10	13	G. Half / quarter rail for bed mobility or for enabling transfer						
7 8 10)	H. Pillows / cushions as bed boundary marker						
7 8 10)	I. Pad or bolster cushion on side rail. Number 1 or 2						
6 7		J. Bed alarm						
5 6 7	14 15	K. Low Bed						
5 6 7	14 15	L. Mat on floor next to bed. Number 1 or 2						
		M. Other						
Resider one sid One full si Both full-l Both full-l	nt is immo e ide rail ind length side	cated because (circle) resident is able to safely exit / enter bed bile and does not make any attempt to exit or does not lean to cated to assist in bed mobility. Indicate LEFT or RIGHT rails are not used as a restraint because immobile rails are the least restrictive restraint ils:	YES YES YES YES	NO NO NO				
Date								
Signatures of Participating Restraint Prevention and Reduction Committee Members:								

From: Capezuti E. Preventing falls and injuries while reducing siderail use. *Annals of Long-Term Care*. 8 (6): 57-63, 2000. *Reprinted with permission*.